

**ENGL 105i – Unit 3**  
**Writing in the Health Humanities: Literary Analysis Video Essay**

<b>Genre</b>	<b>Audience</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Rhetorical Situation</b>
Video essay	Other literary scholars interested in short fiction as well as members of the general public, esp. stakeholders in the field of health and medicine	Literary scholar focusing on short fiction, Health Humanities, and the public humanities	To argue for your original interpretation of a short piece of literary fiction in a way that is engaging and accessible to both literary scholars and non-experts	You are a literary scholar with a focus in Health Humanities who wants to engage both expert and non-expert audiences by creating a video essay that argues for an original health-focused interpretation of a short literary text.

**Overview**

For our third unit, we will explore how notions of health and medicine are harnessed and explored artistically. Using a Health Humanities perspective, you will compose an original literary analysis of a short story of your choosing and then present that analysis in the form of a digital video essay. This video essay should present an argument in support of your own unique interpretation of a short piece of literary fiction using images, video, graphics, sound, music, and voice-over narration that is effective and engaging to a broad audience.

In order to produce this video essay, you will adopt the role of a Health Humanities literary scholar interested in short literary fiction as well as the [public humanities](#). This means that one of your goals as a scholar is to engage non-academic and/or non-expert audiences. You will read and analyze a short story of your choosing through the lens of health and medicine, compose a traditional literary analysis of your selected text, and then translate that analysis into a script that will serve as the voice-over for your video essay. You will then produce your video essay using a variety of media, ultimately publishing it online in order to engage the public with your selected text.

In this final unit of the semester, you have the option of including secondary research into your work, but the priority is on your primary research, your individual interrogation of a short fictional literary text of your choosing. This will require you to develop a detailed and complex thesis statement in which you move from asking questions to forming your own unique argument, supported by claims and sub-claims.

Using the medium of digital essays will force you to “translate” your traditional essays across modes and media to make them more engaging for a broader audience, encouraging an engagement with public scholarship while also allowing us to explore issues surrounding digital literacy, visual literacy, and multimedia composition. To aid us in these attempts, we will coordinate with the [Media & Design Center](#) (formerly known as the Media Resources Center or MRC) so an expert can help you become more comfortable with software for capturing and editing digital audio/video media for the purposes of presenting your own unique claims to a public audience.

If you have not already done so, **you should immediately obtain Adobe Creative Cloud and then use it to install Adobe Premiere Pro.** You can install the **Adobe Creative Cloud** desktop application for free at <http://software.sites.unc.edu/adobe/>. For instructions on how to obtain Adobe Creative Cloud and its associated programs for free, see “How to Get Adobe Creative Cloud” on Sakai at Resources>Helpful Handouts and Resources.

Note that for all of our work in Unit 3, we will be using the Modern Language Association (MLA) 8<sup>th</sup> edition citation format, the citation format most commonly used by scholars in the Humanities. Your primary source of information on MLA 8<sup>th</sup> edition citation format should be the UNC Libraries. See the following pages:

- UNC Libraries: “[Why We Cite](#)”
- UNC Libraries, [MLA 8<sup>th</sup> ed.](#):
  - “[Sample References Page](#)”
  - “[In-Text Citations](#)”
  - “[Print Sources](#)”
  - “[Online Sources](#)”

**Feeder 3.1 is a Close Reading Worksheet** that is designed to deeply engage you with your selected short story and lay the groundwork for your traditional, written essay. Feeder 3.1 is worth 5% of your final course grade.

- Thurs. April 1: Choose UP3 Topics in class
- Tues. April 6: Feeder 3.1 Rough Draft due by 12:00pm (Sakai forum post)
- Thurs. April 8: Feeder 3.1 Final Draft due for a grade by 12:00pm (Sakai>Assignments)

**Feeder 3.2 is a Literary Analysis Essay** in which you should analyze your selected short story through the lens of health and medicine. This traditional, written essay will serve as the starting point for your voice-over script for your video essay. Feeder 3.2 is worth 5% of your final course grade.

- Thurs. April 15: Feeder 3.2 Rough Draft due by 12:00pm (Sakai forum post)
- Tues. April 20: Feeder 3.2 Final Draft due for a grade by 12:00pm (Sakai>Assignments)

**Unit Project 3 is a Literary Analysis Video Essay.** You will use my feedback from your final draft of Feeder 3.2 to revise your essay and finalize it into a script for your voice-over narration for a video essay. You will then integrate on-screen text and graphics, images, moving video, music, and sound effects to create a video essay that engages non-expert audiences while illustrating your ideas. Unit Project 3 is worth 15% of your final course grade.

- Thurs. April 22: UP3 Storyboard due by 12:00pm (Sakai forum post)
- Thurs. April 29: UP3 Rough Cut due by 12:00pm (Sakai forum post)
- Tues. May 4: UP3 Final Draft due for a grade by 12:00pm (post to the course website)

For more detailed instructions for each feeder and your unit project, including grading rubrics, etc., see below. Always remember to refer to the specific instructions and guidelines listed in this document, including grading rubrics, as well as any samples or models we discuss in class.

### **Feeder 3.1: Close Reading Worksheet**

Your first step is to choose a short text of literary fiction to study and analyze. It may be best to choose a short story with which you are already somewhat familiar. I've provided a list of suggested stories on Sakai at Resources>Unit 3; the document is titled "Potential Stories for Unit Project 3." That list, however, is only meant to offer suggestions. You may choose any short story you wish, but when choosing a story, remember that your ultimate goal will be to craft an interpretation of the story through the lens of the Health Humanities. You need to find a story that explores (explicitly or implicitly) some concept related to health or medicine, OR you could explore a story that never directly addresses such concepts but that uses imagery or metaphors related to health or medicine in an intriguing way that carries with it larger implications. You will then analyze the text's stylistic or linguistic attributes in relation to the story's content and themes as they relate to some notion of health and medicine.

Potential concepts stories might explore include (but are not limited to): mental health, trauma, history of medicine, injury, disability, debility, prosthetics/cybernetics, gender-based violence, sexuality, sexual identity/expression, disease transmission, epidemics, medical science, public or global health, human rights, violence, heredity/genetics, health (in)justice, a preoccupation with specific body parts/organs, the relationship between one's body and identity, etc.

Helpful hint: You'll eventually be making a video essay about your selected story, which means you'll be collecting footage to represent key moments in your story. It may be advantageous to choose a story that has been previously adapted to the screen.

**Come to class on Thurs. April 1 with a few potential stories you are considering for this project.** In class, we will begin discussing writing in the Humanities and in the Health Humanities and help you narrow your selection for your story. **You must commit to a story and post it to our forum for the day before leaving class that day. If you are absent, you are responsible for posting to the appropriate forum before the end of our class session on Thurs. April 1 with a selected story.**

In order to understand the complexities and nuances of your selected story, you need to become extremely familiar with the text itself by reading it, rereading it, studying it, and writing about it. To practice your skills analyzing and thinking critically about your text, your first feeder is a worksheet designed to deeply engage you with your selected story. The document is titled "Feeder 3.1 – Close Reading Worksheet" and is on Sakai at Resources>Unit 3.

**A rough draft of your worksheet is due Tues. April 6 by 12:00pm via the appropriate Sakai forum. This rough draft should be attached to your post as its own document. We will workshop this in class that day. The file name for this document should be "[Your last name]\_3.1 Rough Draft."** This draft does not have to be entirely complete, but it should be as close to complete as possible for you to maximize the benefits from the workshop in class.

**Based on your workshop experience, revise and complete your Feeder 3.1 in to a separate, final draft. This final draft of Feeder 3.1 is due for a grade on Thurs. April 8 by 12:00pm via the "Assignments" tab on Sakai. The file name for this document should be "[Your last name]\_3.1 Final Draft.**

Successful worksheets will:

- Display considerable investment in the revision process.
- Contain questions that are all answered in a complete and accurate manner.
- Contain passages quoted from your selected text accompanied by accurate and complete in-text citations in MLA 8<sup>th</sup> edition format.
- Exhibit the student’s careful critical engagement with the selected text and its content, themes, and style.

**Grading Rubric for Feeder 3.1: Close Reading Worksheet**

The final grade for Feeder 3.1 will be worth 5% of the student’s final course grade.

	10	7	4	1
<b>Question 1</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 2</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 3</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 4</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 5</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 6</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.

			information for the reader to understand.	
<b>Question 7</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Question 8</b>	Answered completely, accurately, and appropriately.	Some information is lacking or inaccurate.	The response is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand.	Little to no response to the question.
<b>Grammar</b>	Worksheet is free from typographical errors as well as spelling and grammar mistakes.	A few surface errors but none so consistent that they obscure the student-author's meaning.	Repeated surface errors.	No sign of editing or revision.
<b>Total: /90</b>				

### **Feeder 3.2: Literary Analysis Essay (1,000 to 1,400 words)**

Now that you've completed preliminary work in analyzing your selected short text, you're prepared to begin composing an essay that analyzes your short story and argues for your own original interpretation of that story through the lens of the Health Humanities. (This essay will lay most of the groundwork for your voice-over script for your video essay and will guide your decisions as you construct your video essay.)

Your written essay for this feeder should carefully interrogate a passage in your selected text, crafting an original interpretation about that passage and how it relates to larger themes throughout the entire story. Alternatively, you can focus on a recurring motif or theme that appears throughout the entire text and how it contributes to the story as a whole. (Ideally, your work on Feeder 3.1 laid the groundwork for you.) Either way, you should focus your interrogation of the text on how the text confronts a specific concept related to health or medicine, explicitly, implicitly, or rhetorically.

This is not a research paper, nor is it a summary. Although you might have to contextualize particular moments, scenes, characters, or themes, the emphasis of this paper is on a single passage (or other aspect of the text such as a recurring motif) and how it relates to the rest of the text.

In addition to your primary source (the text you are analyzing), your essay can briefly incorporate a few secondary sources but only if strictly necessary to support your overall argument. These can be historical/etymological sources that illuminate/inform your own interpretation and/or critical sources to which you are responding. For instance, you might argue against another critic who has

made a particular argument for interpreting a story in a particular manner; alternatively, you might use biographical information about the author or historical information about the time period to illuminate your interpretation of the text. Or you might find it useful to bring in scientific or medical sources to illuminate your own interpretation or works by scholars in the Health Humanities to help contextualize your own interpretation. These secondary sources, however, are optional and should only be used to **briefly supplement/frame** your own interpretation; **I am primarily interested in your own interpretation, not what other critics or scholars have said.**

Although we will discuss strategies for analyzing literary texts in class, you should remember to refer to the handouts on close reading a text on Sakai at Resources>Unit 3>How to Close Read a Text. Your essay should be 1,000 to 1,400 words and will eventually serve as the voice-over script for your video essay. (I recommend that as you compose your essay, you start making a list of possible ways you will represent your ideas in your final unit project when you translate this traditional essay into a digital video essay; keep an eye out for video clips and other media, etc. that you will be able to use or images/scenes you will want to film yourself.)

The first step in writing your literary analysis essay (after carefully reading and rereading your text and brainstorming ideas in response to that reading) will be to craft a tentative thesis statement regarding your interpretation of your selected text. Again, your work for Feeder 3.1 (and my feedback on Feeder 3.1) should have laid the groundwork for you. Use that work to get you started in composing your thesis and drafting your essay.

**A rough draft of your essay is due Thurs. April 15 by 12:00pm via the appropriate Sakai forum for us to workshop in class that day. The file name for this document should be “[Your last name]\_3.2 Rough Draft.”** This draft does not have to be entirely complete, but it should be as close to complete as possible for you to maximize the benefits from the workshop in class.

**Based on your workshop experience, revise and complete your Feeder 3.2 in to a separate, final draft. This final draft of Feeder 3.2 is due for a grade on Tues. April 20 by 12:00pm via the “Assignments” tab on Sakai. The file name for this document should be “[Your last name]\_3.2 Final Draft.”**

Successful drafts will display considerable investment in the revision process and will be clearly written and logically organized. They should include:

- A descriptive title that engages an audience while also suggesting the overall content you’ll be discussing.
- A brief introduction that ends with a clearly stated thesis, arguing for your own original interpretation of a short story, an interpretation somehow focused on a specific concept related to health or medicine.
- An organized body that supports your thesis by integrating summaries, paraphrases, and quotations from your primary text and analyzing the specific linguistic/stylistic choices made by the author of your primary text.
- A conclusion that sums up your points and gestures towards the larger implications of your argument.
- A Works Cited page in which you cite (using MLA 8<sup>th</sup> edition citation format) all secondary and primary sources you mention in your essay. This includes the story you are discussing.

**Grading Rubric for Feeder 3.2: Literary Analysis Essay**

The final grade for Feeder 3.2 will be worth 5% of the student’s final course grade.

	10	7	4	1
<b>Introduction</b>	Introduction clearly identifies the central question or issue under study, offers helpful background or contextual information, and contains a logical progression of ideas, ending with the thesis statement.	Some information about the essay’s central ideas is offered, but it is confusingly organized or summarized strangely.	Introduction is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the reader to understand the significance of the thesis statement.	Essay lacks introduction.
<b>Thesis Statement</b>	Thesis statement makes a strong and interesting claim arguing for a particular interpretation of the text; statement is well-worded, clear, and intriguing and focuses on a specific concept related to health or medicine.	Thesis statement forwards an arguable claim but does so in confusing or unclear language.	Thesis statement makes more of an observation rather than a debatable claim.	Essay lacks thesis statement, or thesis statement is totally unintelligible.
<b>Body</b>	Body includes well synthesized information drawn from sources. It is well organized and offers multiple sub-claims that support the overall argument.	Body is lacking some detail or specificity. In a few instances, more evidence is necessary to support its claims.	Body is significantly lacking in some way. Minimal or no evidence is provided to support claims.	Body contains almost no credible information drawn from scholarly sources; body is disorganized and confusing.
<b>Paragraph Structure</b>	Paragraphs contain an analytical topic sentence that makes one central claim and then provides evidence and analysis to support this claim.	Paragraphs are mostly well structured with a few slip-ups; some paragraphs either do not contain adequate	A few paragraphs attempt to do too much or do not advance one specific claim. Paragraphs do not contain logical	Paragraphs are highly unorganized and very difficult to follow; paragraphs do

	Each paragraph flows well.	flow, are missing a topic or ending sentence, or do not analyze their evidence.	flow of information.	not advance any claim at all.
<b>Integration of Sources</b>	Sources are excellently paraphrased and summarized and are incorporated into the writing using signals or attributions. Quotations of specific phrases, word choice, etc. are used when appropriate. Student-author analyzes this material and offers their own perspective.	Sources are mostly paraphrased and summarized well, as is the inclusion of quotations. Student-author makes some attempt to analyze this research.	Summaries and paraphrases are confusing, awkward, and do not flow well with the rest of the paragraph. Quotations are poorly integrated into the text and tend to be unnecessarily long with little comment/analysis.	Sources are pulled in as lengthy direct quotes, and almost no effort is made to paraphrase or summarize them. Student-author does not provide enough information for the reader to understand the importance of this material and offers no analysis of their own.
<b>Organization</b>	Essay is organized with a logical and explicit pattern.	Essay is mostly well-organized, but some paragraphs seem out of order or repetitive.	Essay is very confusingly organized and does not reflect an overall organizational pattern.	Essay is organized so confusingly that it impedes the student-author's purpose.
<b>Style</b>	Essay features varied and sophisticated sentence structure and diction.	Essay uses some repetitive diction, simplistic language or sentence structures but mostly maintains a professional and objective tone.	Essay occasionally lapses into casual, colloquial discourse or subjective claims. Writing appears erratic, and some sentences are hard to follow.	Major lapses into casual discourse or little attempt to maintain objectivity. Diction is highly repetitive, and syntax is confusing.
<b>Conclusion</b>	Conclusion clearly & definitively answers	Conclusion makes some	Conclusion mostly just repeats	Conclusion is indistinguishable



	the “so what”/ “who cares” questions, indicating the significance or larger implications of the essay’s argument.	effort to point to broader implications of topic.	information already stated.	from introduction.
<b>Citations</b>	A coherent citation system (MLA 8 <sup>th</sup> edition) is used consistently throughout; Works Cited page is complete and formatted accurately.	A citation system is systematically used with some lapses in providing required bibliographical information; Works Cited does not include all sources cited in body of text.	It is very difficult to tell if a single citation style has been adopted throughout. Citations are erratic, and/or Works Cited is incomplete.	No effort at citing sources accurately and consistently is made.
<b>Grammar</b>	Essay is free from typographical errors as well as spelling and grammar mistakes.	A few surface errors but none so consistent that they obscure the student-author’s meaning.	Repeated surface errors.	No sign of editing or revision.
<b>Total:</b> /100				

### **Unit Project 3: Literary Analysis Video Essay (4-7 minutes, approx. 800 to 1,400 words)**

You’ve written a traditional essay analyzing a short literary text through the lens of the Health Humanities. Now it’s time to communicate your interpretation across different modes and media by turning your traditional written essay into a digital video essay in order to engage a public audience while still contributing to the scholarly discourse around your selected story.

A video essay consists of voice-over narration communicating your ideas and arguments, but it also incorporates a combination of graphics, on-screen text, music and sound effects, images, and most importantly, moving video in order to engage an audience while still communicating the major points of your argument.

**On Thurs. April 22, we will take time for you to discuss (and hopefully practice) getting comfortable with software for capturing and editing digital audio, video, and other media.**

You’ll learn how to lift clips from films or videos in your collection (or the [UNC Libraries’ collection](#)) to use in your own essay (using such programs as [Handbrake](#), which you can download for free) and will discuss copyright and citation issues regarding that content. You can also download video clips from the internet (such as from [YouTube](#) or [Vimeo](#)) using programs like

[clipgrab.org](http://clipgrab.org) or [www.y2mate.com](http://www.y2mate.com), or you can convert media files using such sites as [Zamzar](http://Zamzar). (For tips and resources on capturing and editing audio or video footage, see “Tips and Resources for Capturing and Editing Audio-Video” on Sakai at Resources>Unit 3>Capturing and Editing Video or Audio.)

If you wish, you can also record your own video and/or audio footage. (At the very least, all of you will have to record the audio of your voice-over. When recording your voice-over narration, remember the best practices for oral communication—Sakai>Resources>Helpful Handouts and Resources> “Oral Communication and Presentations – Best Practices”—to deliver a poised, paced, and articulate narration.) Some of you might have smartphones or other equipment sufficient for your needs, but you might also be able to reserve and check out—for free—audio and video equipment for capturing audio/video footage from the [Media & Design Center](#) (formerly known as the Media Resources Center or MRC). The Media & Design Center also provides [online tutorials for editing footage](#). You can also schedule remote consultations with their staff to get additional assistance as you construct your video essay.

In actually making and editing your video essay, I strongly recommend using Adobe Premiere Pro as it is an amazing program to which you all have free access. For instructions on how to obtain Adobe Creative Cloud and its associated programs (which includes Adobe Premiere Pro) for free, see “How to Get Adobe Creative Cloud” on Sakai at Resources>Helpful Handouts and Resources. You could also use [Adobe Spark](#), [WeVideo](#), or Windows Movie Maker to make your video essay. Or if you have access to and experience with other video-editing software, you can use that software; most, if not all, Apple laptops come with iMovie, and if you have Windows 10, you can use Video Editor. **No matter what program you use, please note that it takes a significant amount of processing power while editing. When you are editing, you should have all other programs/windows closed. When you are editing, save your work early and often.**

**Please also note that it takes a great deal of time for videos to export, upload, etc., so throughout this unit, you should always begin these processes as early as possible. Do not try to export and upload a video at the last minute.**

Your written essay from Feeder 3.2 will serve as the starting point for your voice-over script and will serve as the structural frame for your video essay as you collect footage and other materials. Once you have feedback from your graded Feeder 3.2, remember to incorporate that feedback and adjust your script and overall plan moving forward as necessary. Note also that collecting and editing footage takes a great deal of time. For that reason, I’ve designed this assignment for you to make a slightly shorter video essay than would be dictated by the length of your essay from Feeder 3.2. This means, however, that you will most likely have to cut or condense a few paragraphs from your earlier essay.

**As you edit your written essay moving forward, keep track of the latest version of that essay since it will be your voice-over script, and you will eventually be posting it as a transcript alongside your final video essay. This written transcript will include a full Works Cited list that includes bibliographic citations for all primary and secondary texts cited in your essay as well as bibliographic citations for all media (images, music, sound effects, videos, etc.) that appear in your video essay. For this reason, you should document the citation information for each piece of media as you collect them.**

**Your first step will be to create a plan or “storyboard” for your video essay.** Before you begin composing any writing project, it’s best to develop an idea and an outline. Similarly, before you begin constructing your video essay, you should develop a detailed plan for what you want your video essay to look, sound, and feel like. Although this written plan won’t technically be an actual “storyboard” like filmmakers use (which involve sketches of individual shots), I want you to think about this written document in a similar manner, envisioning each individual shot or cut (or at least each major segment) that will appear in your essay.

After revising your written essay from Feeder 3.2, I recommend you construct a reverse outline of that essay. Look at each paragraph and write out the main idea or claim that paragraph covers. Below each of these, write a list of the main points or sub-claims included in that specific paragraph. This is basically an outline of your existing essay, and since this written essay will serve as the voice-over for your video essay, the outline you’ve just made will serve as the outline guiding your work as you collect footage and begin constructing your video essay.

During each segment of your video essay, you know that your voice-over will be playing, but in addition, for each of these segments, think about what kinds of video, images, graphics, on-screen text, sound effects, and music will most effectively communicate your ideas while engaging a viewer. Use your reverse outline and craft a plan that walks me through each major segment of your video essay. What will we see? What will we hear? In addition to describing each scene/cut/transition, briefly explain each decision you’re making in terms of how it will affect the audience. For example, if you have a shot in which the audience (via the camera) is looking up at the hero of the story, explain that you want a shot like that because it will make the character look imposing or powerful. If you have a sudden cut to black, explain that you want to do that to create a sudden shock or suspenseful moment. Think about music, sound effects, transitions. Will the music gradually get louder or softer or suddenly stop? Why? Will this be material/footage you’ve shot yourself or collected from some other source? Do you have this material already, or do you need to gather it? Are there screen adaptations of your selected story from which you can pull? Are there other sources that contain similar scenes you could use to represent scenes or themes from your story?

As you develop your video essay storyboard, I want you to find a balance between feasibility and ambition. Although you *do* need to be aware of what’s realistic (certain shots might be impossible to obtain or film yourself), it’s okay if your final video essay does not match the storyboard you submit. In fact, it’s likely that your plan and your written transcript will change after you submit and workshop this document. But by carefully thinking about these many options, you will be better prepared when the time comes to begin constructing your video essay and ready to deal with changes or alterations as they arise.

**Your storyboard is due on Thurs. April 22 by 12:00pm via the appropriate Sakai forum for us to workshop in class that day.** The file name for this document should be “[Your last name]\_UP3 Storyboard.” After workshopping these in class, we will spend some time in class for you to collect footage and edit, etc.

**A rough cut of your video essay is due on Thurs. April 29 by 12:00pm via the appropriate Sakai forum for us to workshop in class that day.** This should be an exported video file ready for a partner or group member to watch. This does not mean it’s expected to be a complete version of your video essay, but I want you to have practiced going through the process of exporting your video. When exporting, choose H264. Your video file should be an .mp4 file. The file name for this

video file should be “[Your last name]\_UP3 Rough Cut.” (See “Tips and Resources for Capturing and Editing Audio-Video” on Sakai at Resources>Unit 3>Capturing and Editing Video or Audio.) In addition to posting your video rough cut, you might also want to post the latest version of your script or storyboard to help your partner in giving you feedback.

**Note:** Sakai maxes out at 200MB, so you might have to use a website like <https://www.ps2pdf.com/> to **slightly condense** your rough cut video. Don’t condense it too much or else the video/audio quality will be compromised. Condense it just enough so you can post it to Sakai for the purposes of our in-class workshop. In a worst-case scenario, you might have to save your exported video file and upload it to YouTube or Vimeo (as unlisted) and then post the link to your video in the Sakai forum in order to make your video accessible to the rest of the class. Alternatively, you could share your video rough cut via Google Drive and post the link onto Sakai. The key is that you’ve exported your project into a playable video file and somehow made it possible for your partner or group members to watch it and give you feedback.

In the event of technical difficulties exporting/uploading your video essay (this draft or any other draft), make sure you begin the export/upload process well before the deadline. If technical difficulties arise, email me immediately explaining the difficulties, and attach the rough cut to the email via an upload or link. We will do an in-class workshop of your rough cuts on Thurs. April 29.

**Note: Do NOT simply attach the Adobe Premiere Pro file (or editing file/project from whatever program you’re using) for the workshop. This file will be useless to anyone who is not on your specific device. You MUST go into Adobe Premiere Pro (or whatever editing program you’re using), export your video into a playable file, and somehow make that video file accessible to me and the other members of your working group.**

**The final cut of your video essay is due, for a grade, by 12:00pm on Tues. May 4 via the course website. This means you will need to finalize your video essay, export it into a playable video (.mp4) file, upload it to [YouTube](#) or [Vimeo](#), and then create a new post on the course website in which that video is embedded. That embedded video should be followed by a transcript of your video essay, which means you will take the final draft of your voice-over script and copy and paste it into this post below your embedded video on our course website.**

**Note: The transcript you post to the course website should NOT be your final draft of Feeder 3.2 but should, instead, be an edited version that is accurate to your actual voice-over as it plays on your final video essay.**

**This process will take time, but the completed draft of your video essay and its transcript must be published online by 12:00pm on Tues. May 4, so you should start this process early. Your submissions are time-stamped, and once the deadline has passed, if you go back and revise, your submission will be considered late.**

Remember, the final product will include an engaging and descriptive title, the embedded video of your video essay, accompanied by the final version of your voice-over script, which will serve as a transcript of your video essay. The transcript should include in-text citations as well as a complete Works Cited list at the end. The Works Cited should include bibliographic citations for all texts cited within your essay and all media incorporated into your video essay.

There are many reasons I'm requiring you to provide a transcript of your video essay. It will serve as a reference for me while grading, and it also makes your work accessible to those who cannot watch or hear your embedded video essay.

**During our final class session on Tues. May 4, we will select a few volunteers who would like to premiere their video essays (or at least a portion of them) in class for us all to watch.**

### **Technical Info:**

For technical information on how to access and log into the course website; how to compose, edit, and publish a post; how to post a video to YouTube or Vimeo; or how to upload/embed a video, etc., see the document "Instructions for Posting to the Course Website" on Sakai at Resources>Course Website Resources.

- When your video essay is complete, export it (select H264) as an .mp4 video file. Open it and watch it to make sure it plays.
- Upload this video online via [YouTube](#) or [Vimeo](#). (If you upload your video essay to YouTube, make sure you edit the viewing permissions to make your video "unlisted" to avoid being blocked because of copyright issues but to still make it accessible to the class, to me, and to anyone else who has the link to your video. If you make your video "Private" on YouTube or Vimeo, I won't be able to watch it, which is unacceptable.)
- The title of your uploaded video on YouTube or Vimeo should be the descriptive title of your video essay.
- The caption or description of your video on YouTube or Vimeo should explain the context for your video essay and should cite this class and the course website, complete with a hyperlink to the site and, ideally, your complete Works Cited list.
- Once the video is posted online, embed the video from YouTube or Vimeo into your UP3 post on the course website.
- Post a transcript: Leave an empty line or two below where your video now appears in your post on the course website. Then copy and paste the *latest* version of your voice-over script into the browser. This will serve as the transcript for your video.
- Do not alter any settings for the blog or any other webpage or the site in general.
- Remember to set a Featured Image for your post and cite it appropriately.
  - See "How to Cite Images, Visuals, Data Visualizations, etc." (Sakai>Resources>Helpful Handouts and Resources) for how you should cite your Featured Image and any other images, etc. you include in your post or video essay.
- Your post should conclude with an MLA 8<sup>th</sup> edition format Works Cited list. If those citations contain urls, activate those urls as hyperlinks for our website visitors.
  - In addition to citing your primary text and any outside sources referenced in your essay, your Works Cited should also cite all images, videos, music, or other media incorporated into your video essay. See "MLA Works Cited Sample" (Sakai>Resources>Unit 3) to guide you in citing audio, video, and other media. See "How to Cite Images, Visuals, Data Visualizations, etc." (Sakai>Resources>Helpful Handouts and Resources") for how you should cite still images.
- Add tags for your post.
- Categorize your post as "Health Humanities: Literary Analysis Video Essays."

- Remember to hit “Publish” near the top-right corner when you’re done. After you publish/update your post, I suggest you view your post like any other online visitor to double-check one final time, just in case you need to go back and edit changes. Log out from our site and return to your post; make sure your embedded video plays correctly and your transcript appears correctly.
- Your post must be complete and accessible by the assignment deadline.
- If you only want to share your post with members of the UNC community, require ONYEN authentication to access your post; if you only want to share your post with members of our classroom community, password-protect your post (using the class password); if you only want to share your post with me, publish your post as “Private.” (Another option is to keep your transcript public or ONYEN-protected but password-protect your video—using the class password—through Vimeo when uploading.)

**Successful video essays** will display considerable investment in the revision process and will be clearly written and logically organized. They should include:

- A descriptive title that engages an audience while also suggesting the overall content you’ll be discussing.
- A brief introduction that ends with a clearly stated thesis, arguing for your own original interpretation of a short story, an interpretation somehow focused on a specific concept related to health or medicine.
- An organized body that supports your thesis by integrating summaries, paraphrases, and quotations from your primary text and analyzing the specific linguistic/stylistic choices made by the author.
- A conclusion that sums up your points and gestures towards the larger implications of your argument.
- A complete transcript of your video essay that is proofread, formatted properly, and contains in-text citations and a Works Cited page in which you cite (using MLA 8<sup>th</sup> edition citation format) all secondary and primary sources you mention in your video essay. This includes the story you are discussing as well as all media incorporated into your video essay.
- Effective and intentional graphics, on-screen text, images, video, and audio that enhance your ability to communicate your ideas and argument while also taking advantage of these various modes and media to engage an audience.
- A structure, layout, and editing style that makes the video essay feel seamless, organic, and effective while still falling within the time frame of four to seven minutes.

**Grading Rubric for Unit Project 3: Literary Analysis Video Essay**

The final grade for UP3 will be worth 15% of the student’s final course grade.

	10	7	4	1
<b>Introduction</b>	Introduction clearly identifies the central question or issue under study, offers helpful background or contextual information, and	Some information about the essay’s central ideas is offered, but it is confusingly organized or	Introduction is cursory at best and does not provide enough information for the audience to understand the	Video essay lacks introduction.

	contains a logical progression of ideas, ending with the thesis statement. Voice-over, visuals, and other media combine to communicate this material effectively.	summarized strangely. Minor issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	significance of the thesis statement. Major issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	
<b>Thesis Statement</b>	Thesis statement makes a strong and interesting claim arguing for a particular interpretation of the text; statement is well-worded, clear, and intriguing and focuses on a specific concept related to health or medicine.	Thesis statement forwards an arguable claim but does so in confusing or unclear language.	Thesis statement makes more of an observation rather than a debatable claim.	Video essay lacks thesis statement, or thesis statement is totally unintelligible.
<b>Body</b>	Body includes well synthesized information drawn from sources. It is well organized and offers multiple sub-claims that support the overall argument. Voice-over, visuals, and other media combine to communicate this material effectively.	Body is lacking some detail or specificity. In a few instances, more evidence is necessary to support its claims. Minor issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	Body is significantly lacking in some way. Minimal or no evidence is provided to support claims. Major issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	Body contains almost no credible information drawn from scholarly sources; body is disorganized and confusing.
<b>Paragraph Structure &amp; Segment Structure</b>	Paragraphs contain an analytical topic sentence that makes one central claim and then provides evidence and analysis to support this claim. Each paragraph flows well. Each segment of the video flows well; shifts from one	Paragraphs are mostly well structured with a few slip-ups; some paragraphs either do not contain adequate flow, are missing a topic or ending sentence, or do not analyze their	A few paragraphs attempt to do too much or do not advance one specific claim. Paragraphs do not contain logical flow of information. Many transitions between segments	Paragraphs are highly unorganized and very difficult to follow; paragraphs do not advance any claim at all. Transitions in the video are abrupt,

	segment to the next feel natural or seamless.	evidence. A few transitions between segments feel inorganic or awkward.	feel inorganic or awkward.	awkward, and distracting.
<b>Integration of Sources</b>	Sources are excellently paraphrased and summarized and are incorporated into the writing using signals or attributions and incorporated into the video appropriately. Quotations of specific phrases, word choice, etc. are used when appropriate. Student-author analyzes this material and offers their own perspective.	Sources are mostly paraphrased and summarized well, as is the inclusion of quotations. Student-author makes some attempt to analyze this research.	Summaries and paraphrases are confusing, awkward, and do not flow well with the rest of the paragraph. Quotations are poorly integrated into the text and tend to be unnecessarily long with little comment/analysis.	Sources are pulled in as lengthy direct quotes, and almost no effort is made to paraphrase or summarize them. Student-author does not provide enough information for the audience to understand the importance of this material and offers no analysis of their own.
<b>Organization</b>	Essay is organized with a logical and explicit pattern.	Essay is mostly well-organized, but some paragraphs/segments seem out of order or repetitive.	Essay is very confusingly organized and does not reflect an overall organizational pattern.	Essay is organized so confusingly that it impedes the student-author's purpose.
<b>Style</b>	Essay features varied and sophisticated sentence structure and diction. The video feels cohesive, reflecting intentionality behind its construction. The use of various media is engaging, as is the tone of the script and its delivery.	Essay uses some repetitive diction, simplistic language or sentence structures but mostly maintains a professional and objective tone. Or the video, at times, doesn't feel	Essay occasionally lapses into overly casual, colloquial discourse or subjective claims. Writing appears erratic, and some sentences/segments are hard to follow. Media is rarely used in an	Major lapses into casual discourse or little attempt to maintain objectivity. Diction is highly repetitive, and syntax is confusing. Video is extremely



		entirely cohesive. Or the tone of the script/ delivery is flat/ unengaging/ inappropriate.	engaging or cohesive manner.	disjointed; media is not used in an engaging manner.
<b>Conclusion</b>	Conclusion clearly & definitively answers the “so what”/ “who cares” questions, indicating the significance of the essay’s argument. Voice-over, visuals, and other media combine to communicate this material effectively.	Conclusion makes some effort to point to broader implications of the topic. Minor issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	Conclusion mostly just repeats information already stated. Major issues with the voice-over, visuals, and/or other media.	Conclusion is indistinguishable from introduction.
<b>Citations</b>	A coherent citation system (MLA 8 <sup>th</sup> edition) is used consistently throughout; Works Cited list is complete and formatted accurately (citing all primary and secondary texts and all media incorporated into the video essay).	A citation system is systematically used with some lapses in providing required bibliographical information; Works Cited list does not include all sources cited in body of text.	It is very difficult to tell if a single citation style has been adopted throughout. Citations are erratic, and/or Works Cited is incomplete.	No effort at citing sources accurately and consistently is made.
<b>Grammar &amp; Editing</b>	Video essay and accompanying transcript are free from typographical errors as well as spelling and grammar mistakes. Video is free from technical glitches or errors.	A few surface errors in the writing or technical glitches in the video but none so consistent that they obscure the intended meaning.	Repeated surface errors in the writing or technical problems in the video.	No sign of editing or revision.
<b>Total: /100</b>				