

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| IN THE SUPREME COURT |) | |
| |) | |
| Action No. 23-003 |) | |
| |) | |
| Jaleah Taylor, and |) | |
| Matthew Tweden |) | |
| PLAINTIFF |) | |
| |) | PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS |
| Versus |) | |
| |) | |
| Board of Elections, and |) | |
| Sophie van Duin, |) | |
| Acting Chair of the Board of Elections |) | |
| DEFENDANTS |) | |

MOTION

1. Pursuant to R. 34, a party may move to “take certain actions outside of complaint or answer.”
2. Pursuant to R.39 a motion to dismiss “shall serve to prevent the need of opposing parties to answer non-meritorious complaints.”
3. The Court has “offer[ed] broad discretion in granting certain motions before it.” (USG Senate v. Grodsky 2022) (see e.g., Robinson v. Bass, 2 S.S.C. ___ (2022) (dismissed), Tweden v. B.O.E., 2 S.S.C. ___ (2021) (dismissed), and Erdal v. Vann, 2 S.S.C. ___ (2022), (per curiam) Brady v. Leimensoll, 1 S.S.C. ___ (2012) (dismissed), and Keune v. Gillooly, 1 S.S.C. 263 (2010) (dismissed).)
4. On or about February 3rd, PLAINTIFF Jaleah Taylor filed a withdrawal motion, citing lack of cause.
5. On or about February 5th, PLAINTIFF Matthew Tweden was granted access to the ballot as a certified candidate for Undergraduate Senate District 1.
6. PLAINTIFF Matthew Tweden moves that case be dismissed based on mootness (see Erdal v. Vann 2 S.S.C. __ (2022)) .

I do affirm that I have read in full the foregoing complaint and that the allegations contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/ Matthew Tweden
PLAINTIFF AND PRO SE



Filed this the 5th day of February, 2024 at 3:00 P.M.