IN THE SUPREME COURT)	
Action No. 23-002)	
Tanner Jacob Edwards PLAINTIFF)	
Versus)	
Board of Elections Sophie van Duin, Acting Chair of the Board of Elections DEFENDANTS		PLAINTIFFS BRIEF ARGUING AGAINST DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW

MOTION

- 1. Pursuant to R. 38, "Motions must be delivered to the opposing party and their counsel (if the opposing party retains counsel) in advance. Opposing counsel or the party will petition the Court for, and must be granted, reasonable time to prepare arguments opposing the motion."
- 2. PLAINTIFF is requesting that the Court denies the DEFENDANTS' Motion for Expedited Review under R. 46, which demands the trial must take place at a time that "take[s] into consideration the convenience of the parties, witnesses, and justices." PLAINTIFF has begun petitioning a constitutional change of Student Const. ch. 3, art. 1, §1, pursuant to Student Const. ch. 3, art. 2, §1, which requires the signatures of 10% of the GPSG Constituency.
- 3. The results of the aforementioned petition, and subsequent referendum, have significant implications on the ruling of this case. As such, the PLAINTIFF requests 14 days to amend their complaint, obtain the necessary signatures, and submit all subpoenas relevant to this case.

The undersigned individuals affirm they read in full the foregoing Complaint, and the allegations therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/ Tj Edwards
PLAINTIFF

UNC Dept. of Public Policy

/s/ Alex Thornburg

Counsel of Record
UNC Department of English and Comparative
Literature

/s/ Grace Lena

COUNSEL

UNC Dept. of Public Policy

Submitted January 26, 2024