



IN THE SUPREME COURT)
)
Action No. 22-008)
)
Samuel C. Robinson)
PLAINTIFF)
)
Versus)
)
David R. Bass, and)
Bass for Student Body President)
Campaign,)
DEFENDANT)

PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
FOR DISMISSAL

MOTION

- 1. Pursuant to R. 34, a party may move to “take certain actions outside of complaint or answer.”
- 2. Pursuant to R.39 a motion to dismiss “shall serve to prevent the need of opposing parties to answer non-meritorious complaints”
- 3. The Court has “offer[ed] broad discretion in granting certain motions before it.” (*USG Senate v Grodsky 2022*) (see e.g., *Tweden v. B.O.E.*, 2 S.S.C. ____ (2021) (dismissed), and *Erdal v. Vann*, 2 S.S.C. ____ (2022), (per curiam) *Brady v. Leimensoll*, 1 S.S.C. ____ (2012) (dismissed), and *Keune v. Gillooly*, 1 S.S.C. 263 (2010) (dismissed).)
- 4. On or about February 5th, Defendant David Bass announced the end of his Candidacy for Student Body President see Exhibit A.
- 5. Plaintiff moves that case be dismissed based on mootness (see *Erdal v. Vann* 2 S.S.C. __ (2022))

I do affirm that I have read in full the foregoing complaint and that the allegations contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/ Samuel C. Robinson
PLAINTIFF
33 Davie Circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(704) 516-7636

/s/ Andrew H. Gary
CAMPAIGN COUNSEL

[REDACTED]

/s/ Callie E. Stevens
CO-COUNSEL

[REDACTED]

/s/ Christopher C. McClanahan
DEPUTY COUNSEL

[REDACTED]

Filed this the 5th day of February, 2023, at 2:45 p.m.

Exhibit A: Defendant withdrawal from SBP race post

Link to post: https://www.instagram.com/p/CoSm_YqOpW2/?igshid=YmMyMTA2M2Y=

