



IN THE SUPREME COURT)
)
 Action No. 22-006)
)
 104th Undergraduate Senate)
 PLAINTIFF)
)
 Versus)
)
 Logan Grodsky, Undergraduate Student)
 Government Treasurer,)
 DEFENDANT)
)

DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT

PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1. Pursuant to III.7.III JC §732(B), parties may respectfully move for summary judgment “when there is no genuine issue of any material facts in the case and the moving party is entitled to a decision based on the law.”
2. On or about September 21, 2022, Defendant filed Answer to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff on or about September 21, 2022.
3. In such Answer, Defendant fully admitted every allegation made in the Complaint.
4. On or about September 21, 2022, Plaintiff requested in their Complaint “an immediate injunction blocking the encumbrance of funds” and that “V U.S.G.C §101(8) be declared null and void” and Defendant offered no contest.
5. On or about September 21, 2022, Plaintiff requested in their complaint that “the Court issue precedent finding mandatory appropriations to statutorily mandatory recipients unconstitutional,” which Defendant contested, offering an alternative demand that “the Court issue precedent finding mandatory appropriations by the Undergraduate Student Government and the Graduate and Professional Student Government to statutorily-mandated recipients unconstitutional.”
6. Seeing no genuine issue of material facts, Defendant moves for Summary Judgment to grant Relief as requested in Answer’s Alternative Demand for Justice.

[REDACTED]

PLAINTIFF
Logan H. Grodsky

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

COUNSEL
Matthew Tweden

[REDACTED]

Filed this the 21st day of September, 2022, at 12:50 a.m.