#### IN THE SUPREME COURT

Action No. 1	6-006
--------------	-------

Travis Broadhurst; PLAINTIFF.	) ) )
versus	)
Devel Weether en	)
Paul Kushner,	)
Chair, Board of Elections;	)
Andy Hoang,	)
Member, Board of Elections;	)
Arunabha Debnath,	)
Member, Board of Elections;	) ORDER GRANTING
Joanna Zhang,	) <b>INJUNCTION</b>
Member, Board of Elections;	)
Zaid Khatib,	)
Member, Board of Elections;	)
Zach Johnson,	)
Member, Board of Elections;	)
Lucy Best,	)
Member, Board of Elections	)
DEFENDANTS.	)

# I. BACKGROUND

On February 28th, 2017, Plaintiff Travis Broadhurst filed a complaint in the Supreme Court against the Board of Elections, arguing that Defendants certified invalid ballot petition signatures in the Student Body President election pursuant to VI S.G.C. §404(F). Plaintiff also filed a motion for a temporary injunction against the Board of Elections from conducting the Student Body President election on March 2nd, 2017, pursuant to III S.G.C. §631(A).

This Court convened on the evening of February 28th, 2017, to consider the motion for injunction pursuant to III S.G.C. §631(A).

# II. ANALYSIS

This Court takes seriously Student Supreme Law's guidance pursuant to III S.G.C. §631(A) that "[t]he Supreme Court shall only issue elections injunctions in extreme circumstances and when necessary." However, given the circumstances surrounding the Spring 2017 General Election and the potential of the outcome of this matter to impact the eligibility of the candidates for the office of Student Body President, this Court finds these circumstances to be extreme and finds it necessary to issue this injunction in order to preserve the remaining integrity of the election process.

### III. ORDER

Pursuant to III S.G.C. §631(A), this Court issues a temporary injunction against the Board of Elections from conducting the Student Body President election on March 2nd, 2017. This injunction does not apply to elections being held for other offices or referenda on this date.

#### It is so ordered.

Done this 28th day of February, 2017, at 11:00pm.

Chief Justice Grayson Berger recused himself from the consideration and decision of this case, and as such, did not participate in the consideration or decision of the issuance of this order.