The International Conference on Recent Progress in Many-Body Theories XXI University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill Sep 12-16, 2022

A Quantum Simulation Program for QCD?

Zohreh Davoudi University of Maryland, College Park The beautiful world of quarks and gluons builds a world of complexities around us...

...and continues to push the limits of our theoretical, experimental, and computational abilities to this date!

Can we go ahead and compute properties of matter from underlying QCD?

Well, even simulating a single proton means solving an infinite-body problem! Quantum mechanics and relativity are in play and interactions are strong! LATTICE QCD: A MULTI-PRONG PROGRAM THAT SIMULATES QCD NON-PERTURBATIVELY



QCD ON A CHIP!



PUTTING ALL THESE HEROIC THEORY, ALGORITHM, AND CO-DESIGN EFFORTS TO WORK AND HAVING ACCESS TO HUNDREDS OF MILLION CPU HOURS ON THE LARGEST SUPERCOMPUTERS IN THE WORLD...



Titan supercomputer, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA

HAS LED TO TENS OF SUCCESS EXAMPLES BUT LET ME TELL YOU ABOUT ONE...

pp fusion cross section

Savage, ZD et al [NPLQCD], Phys.Rev.Lett.119,062002 (2017).

 $L_{1,A} = 3.9(0.1)(1.0)(0.3)(0.9) \text{ fm}^3 \ @ \mu = m_{\pi}^{\text{phys.}} = 140 \text{ MeV}$

 e^+

 ν_e

ZD, Detmold, Orginos, Parreño, Savage, Shanahan, Wagman, Phys.Rept.900,1-74 (2021).

LATTICE QCD IS SUPPORTING A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM!



LATTICE QCD IS SUPPORTING A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM!



THREE FEATURES MAKE LATTICE QCD CALCULATIONS OF NUCLEI HARD:

i) The complexity of systems grows factorially with the number of quarks.





ii) There is a severe signal-to-noise degradation.

iii) Excitation energies of nuclei are much smaller than the QCD scale.





ADDITIONALLY THE SIGN PROBLEM FORBIDS:

ii) Real-time dynamics of matter in heavy-ion collisions or after Big Bang...



...and a wealth of dynamical response functions, transport properties, parton distribution functions, and non-equilibrium physics of QCD.

Path integral formulation:



Hamiltonian evolution:

$$U(t) = e^{-iHt}$$

PLUS MANY INTRACTABLE QUESTIONS IN HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS AS WELL...



Bauer, ZD et al, arXiv:2204.03381 [quant-ph].

Quantum Simulation for High-energy Physics

Christian Bauer,^{1, a} Zohreh Davoudi,^{2, b} A. Baha Balantekin,³ Tanmoy Bhattacharya,⁴ Marcela Carena,^{5, 6, 7} Wibe A. de Jong,¹ Nate Gemelke,⁸ Dmitri Kharzeev,⁹ Henry Lamm,⁵ Ying-Ying Li,⁵ Yannick Meurice,¹⁰ Christopher Monroe,^{11, 12, 13, 14} Benjamin Nachman,¹ Guido Pagano,¹⁵ John Preskill,¹⁶ Alessandro Roggero,^{17, 18} David I. Santiago,^{19, 20} Martin J. Savage,²¹ Irfan Siddiqi,^{19, 20, 22} George Siopsis,²³ Yukari Yamauchi,² and Kübra Yeter-Aydeniz²⁴ An opportunity to explore new paradigms and new technologies: Turning to quantum simulation

A RANGE OF QUANTUM SIMULATORS WITH VARING CAPACITY AND CAPABILITY IS AVAILABLE!

- Atomic systems (trapped ions, cold atoms, Rydbergs)
- Condensed matter systems (superconducting circuits, dopants in semiconductors such as in Silicon, NV centers in diamond)
- Laser-cooled polar molecules
- Optical systems (cavity quantum electrodynamics)



QUANTUM SIMULATION OF QCD?

A controlled quantum system



CREDIT: EMILY EDWARDS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND



Strong-interaction physics



COPY RIGHT: UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

DIFFERENT FROM QUANTUM-CHEMISTRY SIMULATIONS

Starting from the Standard Model

ERN courier

Both bosonic and fermionic DOF are dynamical and coupled, exhibit both global and local (gauge) symmetries, relativistic hence particle number not conserved, vacuum state nontrivial in strongly interacting theories.

DIFFERENT FROM QUANTUM-CHEMISTRY SIMULATIONS

Starting from the Standard Model

RN courie

Both bosonic and fermionic DOF are dynamical and coupled, exhibit both global and local (gauge) symmetries, relativistic hence particle number not conserved, vacuum state nontrivial in strongly interacting theories.

Attempts to cast QFT problems in a language closer to quantum chemistry and NR simulations: Kreshchuk, Kirby, Goldstein, Beauchemin, Love, arXiv:2002.04016 [quant-ph], Kreshchuk, Jia, Kirby, Goldstein, Vary, Love, Entropy 2021, 23, 597, Liu, Xin, arXiv:2004.13234 [hep-th], Barata , Mueller, Tarasov, Venugopalan (2020) QUANTUM SIMULATION OF QUANTUM FIELD THEORIES: A MULTI-PRONG EFFORT





How to formulate QCD in the Hamiltonian language?

What are the efficient formulations? Which bases will be most optimal toward the continuum limit?

How to preserve the symmetries? How much should we care to retain gauge invariance?

How to quantify systematics such as finite volume, discretization, boson truncation, time digitization, etc?

Theory developments

Hamiltonian formalism maybe more natural than the path integral formalism for quantum simulation/computation:

Kogut and Susskind formulation:

$$H = -t\sum s \left(\psi \ U \ \psi \ + \psi \ U \ \psi\right) + m\sum s \ \psi \ \psi \ + \frac{g}{2}\sum \left(L \ + R \ \right) - \frac{1}{4g}\sum \operatorname{Tr}\left(U \ + U \ \right).$$

Hamiltonian formalism maybe more natural than the path integral formalism for quantum simulation/computation? ∇ $\neg \Diamond$ \diamond \bigtriangledown \circ An infinite-dimensional Hilbert space! Kogut and Susskind formulation:^A $= -t\sum s^{\Lambda}(\psi \ U \ \psi \ +\psi \ \hat{U} \ \psi)^{\circ} + m\sum s \ \psi \ \psi \ + \frac{g}{2}\sum^{\Lambda}(L \ +R \) - \frac{1^{\vee}}{4g}\sum^{\nabla}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{U} \ +\overline{U}\right)^{\circ}$ H ∇ 🗇 🔻 🗞 🐨 🐨 🖉 🗞 🖉 🗞 🖉 🗞 🗞 🗞 🖉 Energy of color ⊽ [◇]Fermion hopping term ↔ ¬ electric field
√
◊
◊
naggeti °⊗ m⊗ss ₀ \mathbf{O}_{Λ} ∇ Tong, Albert, McClean, Preskill, and Su (2021). Gauge-field truncation SU(2) with matter in 1+1 D SU(3) pure gauge in 2+1 D S⊎(2) pure gauge in 3+1 D Λ \triangleleft in group element basis In localeirrepsebasis in electric-field basis ♦ 283rd 1st 1.0 0.9 8.0 ⟨□⟩^{SU(2)} Ů, _____ □ 0.6 $\overrightarrow{x} = 25$ 07 200 400 600 800 0.5 0.4 \bigtriangledown ◇ 20 🅸 10 Ø 10 $\Lambda_p = \Lambda_a$ bits per link Ciavarella, Klco, and Savage, Hackett et al, Phys. Rev. ZD, Raychowdhury, and Shaw, \bigtriangledown arXiv:2101.10227 [quant-ph] A 99, 062341 (2019) arXiv:2009.11802 [hep-lat] $\mathbf{\nabla}$

Hamiltonian formalism maybe more natural than the path integral formalism for quantum simulation/computation:

Kogut and Susskind formulation:

$$H = -t\sum s (\psi U \psi + \psi U \psi) + m\sum s \psi \psi + \frac{g}{2}\sum (L + R) - \frac{1}{4g}\sum Tr(U + U).$$

Fermion hopping term Fermion Energy of color Energy of color magnetic field
Generator of infinitesimal $G = \psi \lambda \psi + \sum (L + R) \implies G_x^i |\psi(\{q_x^{(i)}\})\rangle = q_x^{(i)} |\psi(\{q_x^{(i)}\})\rangle$
gauge transformation
Electric Spherical Gaussian surface
field lines field infinitesimal Gauss's law

Hamiltonian formalism maybe more natural than the path integral formalism for quantum simulation/computation:

Kogut and Susskind formulation:

$$H = -t\sum s (\psi U \psi + \psi U \psi) + m\sum s \psi \psi + \frac{g}{2}\sum (L + R) - \frac{1}{4g}\sum \operatorname{Tr} (U + U).$$

Fermion hopping termFermion
massEnergy of color
electric fieldEnergy of color
magnetic fieldGenerator of infinitesimal
gauge transformation $G = \psi \ \lambda \ \psi \ + \sum \left(L \ + R \ \right) \ \square \ G_x^i |\psi(\{q_x^{(i)}\})\rangle = q_x^{(i)} |\psi(\{q_x^{(i)}\})\rangle$







IDEAS TO SUPPRESS LEAKAGE TO UNPHYSICAL SECTOR IN THE SIMULATION



See also Stannigel, et al Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 120406, Halimeh, Lang, Mildenberger, Jiang, Hauke, arXiv:2007.00668 [quant-ph], Lamm, Lawrence, Yamauchi, arXiv:2005.12688 [quant-ph], and Kasper et al, arXiv:2012.08620 [quant-ph.

IDEAS TO SUPPRESS LEAKAGE TO UNPHYSICAL SECTOR IN THE SIMULATION



LSH for SU(3) under development by: Kadam, Raychowdhury, Stryker (2022).

IDEAS TO SUPPRESS LEAKAGE TO UNPHYSICAL SECTOR IN THE SIMULATION



Kadam, Raychowdhury, Stryker (2022).

Gauge-field theories (Abelian and non-Abelian):

Group-element representation
Zohar et al; Lamm et al

Link models, qubitization Chandrasekharan, Wiese et al, Alexandru, Bedaque, et al.

Light-front quantization Kreshchuk, Love, Goldstien, Vary et al.; Ortega at al Prepotential formulationLoop-String-Hadron basisMathur, Raychowdhury et alRaychowdhury and Stryker

Fermionic basis Hamer et al; Martinez et al; Banuls et al

Local irreducible representations Byrnes and Yamamoto; Ciavarella, Klco, and Savage

Manifold lattices

Bosonic basis

Cirac and Zohar

Buser et al

Dual plaquette (magnetic) basis Bender, Zohar et al; Kaplan and Styker; Unmuth-Yockey; Hasse et al; Bauer and Grabowska

Spin-dual representation Mathur et al

Scalar field theory

Field basis Jordan, Lee, and Preskill

Harmonic-oscillator basis Klco and Savage Continuous-variable basis Pooser, Siopsis et al

Single-particle basis Barata , Mueller, Tarasov, and Venugopalan.

Algorithmic developments [Digital]

Near- and far-term algorithms with bounded errors and resource requirement for gauge theories?

Lots of interesting ideas using analog

Can given formulation/encoding reduce qubit and gate resources?

Should we develop gauge-invariant simulation algorithms?

How do we do state preparation and compute observables like scattering amplitudes?





How many qubits and gates are required to achieve accuracy ϵ in a given observables? Are there algorithms that scale optimally?



$$H = -ix \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\psi_n^{\dagger} U_n \psi_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} E_n^2 + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \psi_n^{\dagger} \psi_n$$



$$H = -ix \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\psi_n^{\dagger} U_n \psi_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} E_n^2 + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \psi_n^{\dagger} \psi_n$$

What is the capability limit of the hardware for gauge-theory simulations so far?

What is the nature of noise in hardware and how can it best be mitigated?

Can we co-design dedicated systems for gauge-theory simulations?

Can digital and analog ideas be combined to facilitate simulations of field theories?

Implementation, benchmark, and co-design



$$H = x \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\sigma_{+}^{(n)} \sigma_{-}^{(n+1)} + \sigma_{+}^{(n+1)} \sigma_{-}^{(n)} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\epsilon_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left(\sigma_{z}^{(m)} + (-1)^{m} \right) \right]^{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^{n} \sigma_{z}^{(n)}$$



$$H = x \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\sigma_{+}^{(n)} \sigma_{-}^{(n+1)} + \sigma_{+}^{(n+1)} \sigma_{-}^{(n)} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\epsilon_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left(\sigma_{z}^{(m)} + (-1)^{m} \right) \right]^{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^{n} \sigma_{z}^{(n)}$$













See also Casanova et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 190502 (2012), Lamata et al, EPJ Quant. Technol. 1, 9 (2014), and Mezzacapo et al, Phys. Rev. lett. 109, 200501 (2012) for analog-digital approaches to other interacting fermion-boson theories.

$$H = -ix \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\psi_n^{\dagger} U_n \psi_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} E_n^2 + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \psi_n^{\dagger} \psi_n$$



$$H = -ix \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\psi_n^{\dagger} U_n \psi_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} E_n^2 + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \psi_n^{\dagger} \psi_n$$



Finally a few more examples showcasing progress in hardware implementation of a range of QCD-inspired problems...



THERMAL PHASE DIAGRAM AND HEAVY QUARKONIA MOTION IN QGP?



FIRST STEPS TOWARD SCATTERING IN SPIN SYSTEMS — NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS —



EMERGING UNDERSTANDING OF THERMALIZATION IN SIMPLE GAUGE THEORIES



AND GENERALLY NON-EQUILIBRIUM AND TOPOLOGICAL PHENOMENA



Digital quantum computation of dynamical quantum phase transitions and entanglement tomography in a Lattice Gauge Theory, Mueller, Carolan, Connelly, ZD, Dumitrescu, Mueller, Yeter-Aydeniz, to be released (2022).

See also: Cohen, Lamm, Lawrence, Yamauchi, *Phys. Rev.* D 104 (2021) 9, 094514. We've got a long way to go to get to **QCD** but we know what to do! If one thing we learned from the successful conventional lattice-QCD program is that **theory/ algorithm/experiment** collaborations will be the key. It is even more important in the quantum-computing era since our computers are themselves physical systems!

















